Agenda	Topic	Decision
Item No		

### Part A – Items considered in public

A3	Sugahill 250 Kirkdale SE26 4NL	IN RESPECT OF THE APPLICATION MADE FOR THE VARIATION OF A PREMISES LICENCE, FOR SUGAHILL 250 KIRKDALE SE26 4NL TO CONFIRM THAT THE COMMITTEE MADE THE DETERMINATION SHOWN BELOW.
		IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR THE VARIATION OF A PREMISES LICENCE, THE COMMITTEE CONSIDERED THE RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS MADE.
		The Committee made the following determination:
		With a view to ensuring the promotion of the licensing objectives, in accordance with the provisions of the statutory guidance and the principles of our licensing policy, the application for change of licensable hours was REFUSED. It was agreed that the current Annex 2 condition could be removed.
		In coming to a determination the Committee considered the following matters;
		<ol> <li>The Committee considered the written representations made by local residents.         They stated that loud music is played until the early hours of the morning and affects residents' ability to sleep. Historically, unregulated parties are held and the area is soiled with litter and urine after these events.     </li> </ol>
		2. The Committee also noted the written and verbal representation made by the Crime, Enforcement and Regulation team. Officers had been receiving complaints since September 2017. There is an abatement notice in place, served on the 16 August 2019. This notice was breached on the 17 August 2019 and officers had been to the premises and witnessed nuisance on four occasions. On two occasions, the noise was deemed to be a statutory nuisance. During a licensing visit in March 2019, it was noted that the CCTV was not accessible and several sign were not displayed.

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		3 The Committee considered the verbal representation made by Councillor Copley on behalf of local residents. He had received complaints from neighbours regarding antisocial behaviour and intimidation by the owners of Sugahill. The complainants alleged they have held loud late night parties and the applicants were aggressive when challenged about this. Residents did not attend the meeting for fear of reprisals from the applicants.
		4. The Committee noted applicants' claims that they had reported a resident to the Police following an altercation. They also claimed that their local councillor and Lewisham officers had not been helpful to them. Members also noted their claims that residents were not telling the truth about the nuisance they claimed to be enduring.
		5. Members noted the applicants' claims that they have been in the borough for several years and run successful charity events. Although they are well known in the community, members agreed that this should not prevent the applicants from upholding the licensing objectives
		6. Members agreed that the applicants had not made any efforts to address the common concerns raised by several residents regarding the level of the music played and the intimidation they claimed to be experiencing, when residents approached the applicants to resolve this and other issues of concern.
		7. The Committee considered that the granting of the variation of the licence would not uphold the four licensing objectives.
A4	Interludee 12 Leegate London SE12 8SS	IN RESPECT OF THE APPLICATION MADE FOR A TEMPORARY EVENT NOTICE

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		FOR INTERLUDEE 12 LEEGATE SE12 8SS. THE APPLICATION IS FOR THE SALE OF ALCOHOL AND REGULATED ENTERTAINMENT ON 23 DECEMBER 2019 FROM MIDNIGHT UNTIL 1:00HR AND FOR THE SAME ACTIVITIES ON 25 DECEMBER 2019 BETWEEN MIDNIGHT AND 03:00 HOURS. THE COMMITTEE MADE THE DETERMINATION SHOWN BELOW. IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR THE TEMPORARY EVENT NOTICE, THE COMMITTEE CONSIDERED THE RELEVANT REPRESENTATIONS MADE.
		The Committee made the following determination:
		With a view to ensuring the promotion of the licensing objectives, in accordance with the provisions of the statutory guidance and the principles of our licensing policy, the application for the Temporary Event Notice was GRANTED subject to the current premises licence conditions being applied throughout both events.
		In coming to a determination, the Committee considered the following matters;
		<ol> <li>The Committee considered the representation made by the Crime, Enforcement and Regulation (CER) officer. The premises operated under a TEN on 15 September 2019. There was an altercation outside the premises and a complaint was received. Door staff were not on hand to deal with the disturbance and the manager had tried to intervene.</li> </ol>
		The Committee also noted that officers had witness music audible in the surrounding area and patrons were causing noise congregating outside. The front door was not being supervised.
		3 The Committee considered the representation made by the applicants. As a result of

Agenda Item No	Topic	Decision
		the issues raised by CER officers following the event on 15 September, the entrance has been moved to the back of the premises where there are two doors. This has helped the egress of patrons, particularly in the early hours of the morning.
		The Committee noted that the applicants had addressed the issues raised by CER officers and there have not been any problems since September 2019.
		The Committee considered that the granting of the variation of the licence would uphold the four licensing objectives.